1. Proof. Let $x, g \in G$ a group. Suppose |x| = n with n finite. Then $x^n = 1$, so

$$(g^{-1}xg)^n = (g^{-1}xg)\dots(g^{-1}xg) = g^{-1}x^ng = g^{-1}g = 1.$$

If m < n with $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then similarly $(g^{-1}xg)^m = g^{-1}x^mg$. Since |x| = n, $x^m \neq 1$, so $x^mg \neq g$ and $g^{-1}x^mg \neq 1$, since g is the unique inverse of g^{-1} . So $|g^{-1}xg| = n = |x|$ when n is finite. If $|x| = \infty$, then $|g^{-1}xg| = \infty$, for otherwise

$$(g^{-1}xg)^k = g^{-1}x^kg = 1 \implies x^k = gg^{-1} = 1$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, contradicting that $|x| = \infty$.

Consequently, $|ab| = |a^{-1}(ab)a| = |ba|$.

2. There are 3 "actions" to represent: a horizontal reflection, a vertical reflection, and a half-rotation. Represent these as

$$h = (1 \ 4)(2 \ 3)$$

$$v = (1 \ 2)(3 \ 4)$$

$$r = (1 \ 3)(2 \ 4)$$

respectively. Then $K := \{1, h, v, r\}$, where 1 is the identity function and $K \leq S_4$. The order of each element except the identity (which has order 1) is 2, since h, v, r are composed entirely of 2-cycles. Finally, note that $K \leq S_4$ since $K \subseteq S_4$ is finite and closed under products, since $r^2 = h^2 = v^2 = 1$, hr = v = rh and vr = h = rv.

3. We use the fact that the order of a permutation is the least-common multiple of its cycles. Consider the lengths of the cycles in every permutation, its least-common multiple, and the number of such elements in S_6 :

$$6 \implies 6,120$$

$$5+1 \implies 5,144$$

$$4+2 \implies 4,90$$

$$4+1+1 \implies 4,90$$

$$3+3 \implies 3,40$$

$$3+2+1 \implies 6,120$$

$$3+1+1+1 \implies 3,40$$

$$2+2+2 \implies 2,15$$

$$2+2+1+1 \implies 2,45$$

$$2+1+1+1+1 \implies 2,15$$

$$1+1+1+1+1 \implies 1,1$$

To the count the elements of each possible order, it suffices to count the number of permutations with each cycle pattern. To compute this, take the total number of permutations and divide out the product of the lengths of each cycle (since each t-cycle can be represented t equivalent ways, i.e. $(1\ 2)=(2\ 1)$), as well as the number of ways to choose each cycle. For

example, there are $\frac{6!}{(2^3)(3!)} = 15$ ways to permute 6 elements into a 3 2-cycles, since each 2-cycle can be represented 2 different ways, and there are 3! ways to choose the 2-cycles. Summing up the permutations that have identical least-common multiple cycle-lengths, we have there are 240 elements of order 6, 144 elements of order 5, 180 elements of order 4, 80 elements of order 3, 75 elements of order 2, and 1 element of order 1.

- 4. Proof. There is an element in $r \in D_{24}$ with order 12. The possible cycle lengths in every element of S_4 are 1+1+1+1, 2+1+1, 2+2, 3+1, 4, none of which have a least-common multiple of 12. So there is no element of order 12 in S_4 , so there can not be an isomorphism between D_{24} and S_4 , since isomorphisms preserve order.
- 5. Proof. (\rightarrow) Suppose φ is a homomorphism. Let $a, b \in G$. Then $\varphi(ab) = (ab)^2 = \varphi(a)(b) = a^2b^2$, so $abab = aabb \implies ba = ab$, by the Cancellation Law of a on the left and b on the right. (\leftarrow) Suppose G is Abelian. Let $a, b \in G$. Then $\varphi(ab) = (ab)^2 = a^2b^2 = \varphi(a)\varphi(b)$. \square
- 6. Proof. Let $a \in G$. We have $1 \cdot a = a1 = a$, so the identity property holds. Also, for $x, y \in G$, $x \cdot (y \cdot a) = x \cdot (ay^{-1}) = (ay^{-1})x^{-1} = a(y^{-1}x^{-1}) = xy \cdot a$, by associativity. So compatibility also holds, thus $g \cdot a$ is a group action.
- 7. Proof. $a \sim a$ since a = 1a, so reflexivity holds. If $a \sim b$, then a = gb for $g \in G$, so $b = g^{-1}a$ and $b \sim a$, so symmetry holds. Finally, suppose $a \sim b$ and $b \sim c$. Then a = gb and b = hc for some $g, h \in G$. We have a = gb = ghc = (gh)c, so transitivity holds. So \sim is an equivalence relation.
- 8. Proof. First we show that for any group G acting on S, its stabilizer G_s is a subgroup of G. We have $1 \in G_s$ by the axiom of group actions. Also, G_s is closed under inverses since if $x \in G_s$, then $s = x^{-1}x \cdot s = x^{-1} \cdot (xs) = x^{-1}s$. It is also closed under products since if $x, y \in G_s$, then $(xy) \cdot s = x \cdot (y \cdot s) = x \cdot s = s$. So $G_s \leq G$.

Finally we prove the proposition: note that $\sigma \cdot s = \sigma(s)$ is a group action of $G = S_n$ on $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, since $\mathrm{id}(s) = s$ and $\sigma(\tau(s)) = (\sigma \circ \tau)(s)$, where $\sigma, \tau \in G$, by composition of functions. So G_i is a stabilizer of G, thus $G_i \leq G$.