

1. *Proof.* Let  $N \trianglelefteq H$  be a proper, non-trivial normal subgroup of  $H$ . Then  $N \cap G_i \trianglelefteq G_i$  for all  $i$ , so  $N \cap G_i = G_i$  or  $N \cap G_i = 1$ , since  $G_i$  is simple. If  $N \cap G_i = G_i$  for all  $i$ , then  $G_i \leq N$ , so  $N = H$ ; a contradiction. Similarly, if  $N \cap G_i = 1$  for all  $i$ , then  $H = 1$ ; a contradiction. So suppose let  $j, k$  such that  $N \cap G_j = G_j \neq 1$  and  $N \cap G_k = 1$ . If  $j < k$ , then  $G_j \leq G_k$ , so  $N \cap G_j \leq N \cap G_k = 1$ , contradicting that  $G_j \neq 1$ . If instead  $k < j$ , then  $G_k \leq G_j$ , but  $N \cap G_k = 1$  so we can't have  $N \cap G_j = G_j$ , since that would imply  $G_j \leq N$ . Thus  $N$  can't exist, so  $H$  is simple.  $\square$
2. (a) Consider  $\langle (i, 1) \rangle = \{(i, 1), (-1, 2), (-i, 3), (-1, 0)\} \leq Q_8 \times Z_4$ . Then  $(j, 0)(i, 1)(j, 0)^{-1} = (-i, 0) \notin \langle (i, 1) \rangle$ , so it is not normal.  
(b) *Proof.* Let  $N \leq G$ ,  $(x, y) \in N$ ,  $(a, b) \in G$ . Then  $(a, b)(x, y)(a, b)^{-1} = (axa^{-1}, byb^{-1})$ . Since  $b \in E_{2^n}$ ,  $byb^{-1} = b$ . So, it remains to show that  $(axa^{-1}, b) \in N$ . Since  $a, x \in Q_8$ ,  $axa^{-1} = \pm x$ . If  $axa^{-1} = x$  we are done. If  $axa^{-1} = -x$ , note that  $(-x, y)^{-1} = (x, y) \in N$  (since  $y \in E_{2^n}$ ), so  $(-x, y) \in N$ .  $\square$
3. (a) We have  $\coprod G_i \subseteq \prod G_i$  and  $(1, \dots) \in \prod G_i$  so  $\prod G_i$  is non-empty. Let  $x = (x_1, \dots) \in \prod G_i$  where  $x_k = x_{k+1} = \dots = 1$  for some  $k$  and  $y = (y_1, \dots) \in \prod G_i$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} yxy^{-1} &= (y_1x_1y_1^{-1}, \dots, y_kx_ky_k^{-1}, \dots) \\ &= (y_1x_1y_1^{-1}, \dots, y_k1y_k^{-1}, \dots) \\ &= (y_1x_1y_1^{-1}, \dots, 1, \dots) \end{aligned}$$

so  $yxy^{-1} \in \prod G_i$ , and by the subgroup criterion,  $\prod G_i \leq \prod G_i$ .

- (b) *Proof.* Let  $x \in T(\prod G)$ . Then  $|x| = n < \infty$ . If  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$  had infinitely many non-identity components, then its order would be infinite, since one could always choose a prime  $p > n$  such that  $x_i \in Z_p$  such that  $x_i^n \neq 1$ . So all but finitely many components of  $x$  are the identity, so  $x \in \prod G$ . Conversely, if  $x \in \prod G$ , then there are finitely many non-identity components each in some cyclic group  $Z_{p_1}, \dots, Z_{p_n}$ . Then  $|x| \leq p_1 \dots p_n < \infty$ , so  $x \in T(\prod G)$ .  $\square$
4. (a) *Proof.* Let  $|G| = n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_n^{\alpha_n}$ . Then by Sylow's Theorem,  $G$  has Sylow  $p_i$ -subgroups  $P_i$  of order  $p_i^{\alpha_i}$ . Since  $n_{p_i}$  must divide  $p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_n^{\alpha_n} / p_i^{\alpha_i}$ ,  $n_{p_i} = 1$ , so each  $P_i$  is the unique Sylow  $p_i$ -subgroup and thus normal.  
We proceed by induction on  $n$ . Suppose the statement holds for finite abelian groups of order less than  $n$ . We have that the subgroup  $H \leq G$  generated by  $P_2, \dots, P_n$  is the product of its Sylow subgroups  $P_2, \dots, P_n$  and is normal since  $G$  is abelian. By Lagrange's  $H \cap P_1 = 1$  is a direct product, so by the Recognition Theorem  $G \cong P_1 \times H \cong P_1 \times \dots \times P_n$ .  $\square$   
(b) *Proof.* Note that since  $H, K \text{ char } G$ , if  $\phi \in \text{Aut}(G)$  then  $\phi|_H \in \text{Aut}(H)$  and  $\phi|_K \in \text{Aut}(K)$ . So define  $\varphi : \text{Aut}(G) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H) \times \text{Aut}(K)$  by  $\varphi(\sigma) = (\sigma|_H, \sigma|_K)$ . Then  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism since  $\varphi(\sigma\tau) = (\sigma\tau|_H, \sigma\tau|_K) = (\sigma|_H\tau|_H, \sigma|_K\tau|_K) = (\sigma|_H, \sigma|_K)(\tau|_H, \tau|_K) = \varphi(\sigma)\varphi(\tau)$ .  
The kernel of  $\varphi$  is the set of automorphisms that fix  $H$  and  $K$ , that is  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma|_H = 1$  and  $\sigma|_K = 1$ . Since  $G = H \times K$ , it must be that  $\sigma = 1$ . Thus  $\varphi$  is injective.  
To show that  $\varphi$  is surjective, note that given  $h \in \text{Aut}(H)$  and  $k \in \text{Aut}(K)$ , we can define  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(G)$  by  $\sigma = h \cup k$  since  $H \cap K = 1$ . Thus  $\varphi$  is surjective, and thus bijective, so  $\text{Aut}(G) \cong \text{Aut}(H) \times \text{Aut}(K)$ .  $\square$   
(c) *Proof.* By induction on the number of Sylow subgroups of  $G$ . The base case of  $n = 1$  is trivial. Suppose  $G$  is finite abelian so that it has  $n > 1$  distinct Sylow subgroups  $P_1, \dots, P_n$

and that the statement holds for finite abelian groups of order less than  $n$ . Consider  $G/P_1$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $\text{Aut}(G/P_1) \cong \text{Aut}(P_2) \times \cdots \times \text{Aut}(P_n)$ . As in (b),  $G/P_i \cap P_i = 1$  and are characteristic, so by (b)  $\text{Aut}(G) \cong \text{Aut}(P_1) \times \cdots \times \text{Aut}(P_n)$ .  $\square$

5. *Proof.* Let  $G$  be non-abelian. Recall that if  $G/Z(G)$  is cyclic, then  $G$  is abelian, so  $Z(G)$  must have order  $p$ . Thus  $|G/Z(G)| = p^2$ , so  $G/Z(G)$  is abelian by Corollary 4.9. Since  $G'$  is the smallest normal subgroup of  $G$  with abelian quotient,  $G' \leq Z(G)$ . Since  $G$  is non-abelian,  $1 < G' \leq Z(G) < G$ , but  $|G'| = |Z(G)| = p$ , so  $G' = Z(G)$ .  $\square$
6. (We use the notation that  $x^g = gxg^{-1}$ ).
- (a) *Proof.* Let  $g \in G$  and  $x, y \in K$ . Then  $x = a^g$  and  $y = b^g$  for some  $a, b \in K$ , so  $(x^{-1}y^{-1}xy)^g = (a^{-g}b^{-g}(a^{-1})^{-g}(b^{-1})^{-g})^g = aba^{-1}b^{-1} \in K'$ .  $\square$
- (b) *Proof.* Let  $\varphi : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(K)$  be the permutation representation of  $G$  associated with the action by conjugation. Then it is a homomorphism, and since  $\text{Aut}(K)$  is Abelian, by Proposition 5.7(5),  $G' \leq \ker \varphi = C_G(K)$ .  $\square$
7. (a) *Proof.* By Theorem 5.10(5),

$$\begin{aligned} k \in C_K(H) &\iff h^k = k, \forall h \in H \\ &\iff \varphi(k)(h) = h, \forall h \in H \\ &\iff \varphi(k) = 1 \\ &\iff k \in \ker \varphi \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

- (b) *Proof.* Let  $h \in H$  and  $k \in K$ . Then

$$(1, k)^{(h, 1)} = (h, k)(h, 1)^{-1} = (h, k)(h^{-1}, 1) = (hk \cdot h^{-1}, k)$$

If also  $h \in N_H(K)$ , then  $(1, k)^{(h, 1)} = (1, k') = (hk \cdot h^{-1}, k)$ . So  $k' = k$ , so  $(1, k)^{(h, 1)} = (1, k)$ , so  $h \in C_H(K)$ . Clearly  $C_H(K) \leq N_H(K)$ , so  $C_H(K) = N_H(K)$ .  $\square$

8. (a) *Proof.* We need to show that  $\text{Aut}(H) \cong S_3$ . Note that  $Z_2 \times Z_2 \cong V_4$  so  $\text{Aut}(Z_2 \times Z_2) \cong \text{Aut}(V_4)$ , and the automorphisms of  $V_4$  are the permutations of the 3 non-identity elements. Thus  $\text{Aut}(V_4) \cong S_3$ . So  $|G| = |H||K| = 4 \cdot 6 = 24$ , so  $G \cong S_4$ .  $\square$
- (b) *Proof.* Let  $G$  act on the left cosets of  $K$  by left multiplication. The permutation representation  $\pi$  afforded by this action is a homomorphism  $\pi : G \rightarrow S_{G/K} \cong S_H \cong S_4$ . To show that  $\ker \pi = 1$ , note that from Exercise 7a,  $C_K(H) = \ker(\varphi) = 1$  where  $\varphi = 1$  is the homomorphism associated with  $G = H \rtimes K$ . So, it remains to show that  $\ker \pi \leq C_K(H)$ .  
Let  $g \in \ker \pi$ . Then  $gxK = xK$  for all  $x \in G$ . Then  $ngx^{-1} \in K$  so  $ngx^{-1}g^{-1} \in K$ , since  $g \in K$  because  $g(1)K = (1)K$ . If  $x \in H$  then since  $H \trianglelefteq G$  (by Theorem 5.10),  $ngx^{-1}g^{-1} \in H$ . But  $H \cap K = 1$ , again by Theorem 5.10, so  $ngx^{-1}g^{-1} = 1$  so  $gx = xg$ . Thus  $g \in C_K(H)$ , so  $\ker \pi \leq C_K(H)$ .

Thus, the kernel of the permutation representation is trivial, so  $G \cong S_4$ .  $\square$